arXiv:1011.5633v3 [math-ph] 3 May 2011

Electroweak interaction without projection operators using complexified octonions

John Fredsted[*]
Soeskraenten 22, Stavtrup, DK-8260 Viby J., Denmark

May 4, 2011

Abstract

Using complexified octonions, a formalism seemingly capable of describing the coupling of spinors to the electroweak force with*out* projection operators is presented.

1 Introduction

The weak interaction violates parity maximally, coupling exclusively to the left-handed components of Dirac four-spinors. In the standard model of particle physics, this fact of Nature is mathematically modelled by *putting in by hand* projection operators, $\frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \gamma_5)$, leaving entirely unaddressed the question as to whether there might exist some underlying physical or mathematical mechanism that might explain this fact.

This paper will present a formalism seemingly capable of describing the coupling of spinors to the electroweak force with*out* projection operators. The formalism will *not* be given in the domain of (associative) matrix calculus, a class to which the Dirac formalism and, indeed, the entire standard model of particle physics belong; rather, it will be given in the domain of (non-associative) complexified octonions.

2 Notation

- The sets of real numbers, complex numbers, quaternions, and octonions, respectively, are denoted $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{H}$, and $\mathbb{O}$, as customarily.
- The set of complexified octonions is denoted $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O}$. Complex numbers and octonions are assumed to commute, i.e., $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O} \equiv \mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

---

[*] physics@johnfredsted.dk

- The real- and imaginary parts of $\mathbb{C}$ are denoted $\mathrm{Re}\,(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathrm{Im}\,(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}$, respectively, as customarily.

- For any set $\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{S} \subseteq \mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{O}$, usual complex conjugation acts as $\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}\otimes\mathbb{S}$, and usual octonionic conjugation [1, 2] acts as $\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\otimes\overline{\mathbb{S}}$. In conjunction, these two conjugations may be used to write $\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{S} = (\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{S})^{+} \cup (\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{S})^{-}$, where

$$(\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{S})^{\pm} \equiv \left\{ x \in \mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{S} \,|\, \overline{x}^{*} = \pm x \right\}.$$

- For any set $\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{S} \subseteq \mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{O}$, the scalar- and vector parts of $\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{S}$, denoted $\mathrm{Scal}\,(\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{S})$ and $\mathrm{Vec}\,(\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{S})$, respectively, are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Scal}\,(\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{S}) &\equiv \left\{ x \in \mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{S} \,|\, \overline{x} = +x \right\} \equiv \mathbb{C}\otimes\mathrm{Scal}\,(\mathbb{S}), \\ \mathrm{Vec}\,(\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{S}) &\equiv \left\{ x \in \mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{S} \,|\, \overline{x} = -x \right\} \equiv \mathbb{C}\otimes\mathrm{Vec}\,(\mathbb{S}), \end{aligned}$$

  so that $x = \mathrm{Scal}\,(x) + \mathrm{Vec}\,(x)$, for any $x \in \mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{O}$.

- The inner product, or scalar product, $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle : (\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{O})^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined as [3, Eq. (5)]

$$2\,\langle x, y\rangle \equiv x\overline{y} + y\overline{x} \equiv \overline{x}y + \overline{y}x.$$

  Note that $\langle x, y\rangle \equiv \langle y, x\rangle$ and $\langle x, y\rangle \equiv \langle \overline{x}, \overline{y}\rangle$.

- The associator $[\cdot,\cdot,\cdot] : (\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{O})^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined as [3, Eq. (12)]

$$[x, y, z] \equiv (xy)\,z - x\,(yz)\,.$$

- Let $\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{A} \cong \mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{H}$ (with the choice of name referring to Associative) denote a specific, but otherwise arbitrary, embedding (of which there are numerous [4]) of $\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{H}$ into $\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{O}$, and let $\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{B} \equiv (\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{O}) \setminus (\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{A})$ denote its non-associative complement.

- Spacetime is assumed globally Minkowskian, with metric $\eta_{\mu\nu} \equiv [\mathrm{diag}\,(-1,1,1,1)]_{\mu\nu}$ and parametrized by Cartesian coordinates x^{μ}.

- A *Lorentz invariant* basis (over $\mathbb{C}$) for $\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{A}$ is taken to be $\mathrm{e}_{\mu} \equiv (\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{e}_1, \mathrm{e}_2, \mathrm{e}_3) \in (\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{A})^{-}$ [note Roman typed letters], where i is the standard complex imaginary unit, and $\mathrm{e}_i \in \mathrm{Vec}\,(\mathbb{A})$ are the imaginary quaternion units obeying $\mathrm{e}_i\mathrm{e}_j = -\delta_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}{}^{k}\mathrm{e}_k$, where ε_{ijk} is the Levi-Civita pseudo-tensor with $\varepsilon_{123} = +1$. Note that $\overline{\mathrm{e}}^{*}_{\mu} = -\mathrm{e}_{\mu}$ and that $\langle \mathrm{e}_{\mu}, \mathrm{e}_{\nu}\rangle = \eta_{\mu\nu}$.

- Let $\mathrm{M}_n\,(\mathbb{D})$ denote the set of n-dimensional square matrices over some associative domain $\mathbb{D}$.

3 Lorentz transformations

Define $S_{\mu\nu} \in \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathrm{Vec}\,(\mathbb{A})$ and $V_{\mu\nu} \in \mathrm{M}_4\,(\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R})$, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned} +\,4\mathrm{i}S_{\mu\nu} &\equiv \mathrm{e}_\mu \overline{\mathrm{e}}_\nu - \mathrm{e}_\nu \overline{\mathrm{e}}_\mu \Leftrightarrow && (1)\\ -4\mathrm{i}S^*_{\mu\nu} &\equiv \overline{\mathrm{e}}_\mu \mathrm{e}_\nu - \overline{\mathrm{e}}_\nu \mathrm{e}_\mu, && (2) \end{aligned}$$

using for the bi-implication the property $\overline{\mathrm{e}}^*_\mu = -\mathrm{e}_\mu$; and

$$\mathrm{i}\,(V_{\mu\nu})^\rho{}_\sigma \equiv \delta^\rho_\mu \eta_{\nu\sigma} - \delta^\rho_\nu \eta_{\mu\sigma}.$$

As is well-known, $V_{\mu\nu}$ are the generators of the vector representation of the Lorentz group. Lemma 1 establishes that $S_{\mu\nu}$ are the generators of one of the two spinor representations of the Lorentz group; the other spinor representation is generated by $-S^*_{\mu\nu}$. By exponentiation, Lemma 2 implies that

$$\overline{\Lambda}^*_S \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho \Lambda_S = (\Lambda_V)^\rho{}_\sigma \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\sigma, \tag{3}$$

where $\Lambda_S \in \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{A}$ and $\Lambda_V \in \mathrm{M}_4\,(\mathbb{R})$, respectively, are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_S &\equiv \exp\left(-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu} S_{\mu\nu}\right), \\ \Lambda_V &\equiv \exp\left(-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu} V_{\mu\nu}\right), \end{aligned}$$

with $\theta^{\mu\nu} = -\theta^{\nu\mu} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 1 Eq. (3) is the analogue of the relation $\Lambda^{-1}_{1/2} \gamma^\mu \Lambda_{1/2} = (\Lambda_V)^\mu{}_\nu \gamma^\nu$ in the standard Dirac formalism [5, Eq. (3.29)], where $\Lambda_S \equiv \exp\left(-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\right)$ and $4\mathrm{i}\sigma_{\mu\nu} \equiv \left[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu\right]$, with γ_μ being the standard flat spacetime Dirac gamma matrices. There is a difference, though: $\overline{\Lambda}^*_S$ does *only* equal Λ^{-1}_S for spatial rotations; for pure boosts, for instance, it equals Λ_S itself.

Consider spinor fields $\alpha = \alpha\,(x) \in \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{A}$ and $\beta = \beta\,(x) \in \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{B}$ transforming under Lorentz transformations as (suppressing the Lorentz transformation of the arguments)

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha' &= \Lambda_S \alpha, && (4)\\ \beta' &= \overline{\Lambda}^*_S \beta \equiv \beta \Lambda^*_S, && (5) \end{aligned}$$

using Eq. (14). These transformations are compatible, as they should be, with group composition (from the left): $\alpha'' = \Lambda_2\,(\Lambda_1 \alpha) = \Lambda_3 \alpha$ and $\beta'' = \overline{\Lambda}^*_2 \left(\overline{\Lambda}^*_1 \beta\right) = \overline{\Lambda}^*_3 \beta$, where $\Lambda_3 \equiv \Lambda_2 \Lambda_1$ [suppressing here the S in Λ_S]. The relation for α follows by associativity, as all quantities belong to $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{A}$; the relation for β follows from Eq. (15).

Remark 2 Note that the representation in which β transforms, Eq. (5), exists due to the *non*associative identity $(aa')\,b \equiv a'\,(ab)$, Eq. (15), and thus can*not* correspond to any representation encountered in usual associative formalisms.

Proposition 1 *The quantities* $\langle \alpha^*, \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho \partial_\rho \alpha \rangle$ *and* $\langle \beta^*, \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho \partial_\rho \beta \rangle$ *are separately globally Lorentz invariant.*

Proof: Due to associativity, all quantities belonging to $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{A}$, the calculation for $\langle \alpha^*, \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho \partial_\rho \alpha \rangle$ is straightforward:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \alpha^*, \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho \partial_\rho \alpha \rangle' &= \left(\Lambda_V^{-1}\right)^\sigma{}_\rho \left\langle (\Lambda_S \alpha)^*, \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho \partial_\sigma (\Lambda_S \alpha) \right\rangle \\
&= \left(\Lambda_V^{-1}\right)^\sigma{}_\rho \left\langle \Lambda_S^* \alpha^*, \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho \Lambda_S \partial_\sigma \alpha \right\rangle \\
&= \left(\Lambda_V^{-1}\right)^\sigma{}_\rho \left\langle \alpha^*, \overline{\Lambda}_S^* \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho \Lambda_S \partial_\sigma \alpha \right\rangle \\
&= \left(\Lambda_V^{-1}\right)^\sigma{}_\rho \left(\Lambda_V\right)^\rho{}_\tau \left\langle \alpha^*, \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\tau \partial_\sigma \alpha \right\rangle \\
&= \langle \alpha^*, \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho \partial_\rho \alpha \rangle \,,
\end{aligned}$$

using Eqs. (3) and (9). Due to nonassociativity, the calculation for $\langle \beta^*, \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho \partial_\rho \beta \rangle$ requires a bit more care:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \beta^*, \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho \partial_\rho \beta \rangle' &= \left(\Lambda_V^{-1}\right)^\sigma{}_\rho \left\langle \left(\overline{\Lambda}_S^* \beta\right)^*, \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho \partial_\sigma \left(\overline{\Lambda}_S^* \beta\right) \right\rangle \\
&= \left(\Lambda_V^{-1}\right)^\sigma{}_\rho \left\langle \left(\overline{\Lambda}_S^* \beta\right)^*, \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho \left(\overline{\Lambda}_S^* \partial_\sigma \beta\right) \right\rangle \\
&= \left(\Lambda_V^{-1}\right)^\sigma{}_\rho \left\langle \overline{\Lambda}_S \beta^*, \left(\overline{\Lambda}_S^* \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho\right) \partial_\sigma \beta \right\rangle \\
&= \left(\Lambda_V^{-1}\right)^\sigma{}_\rho \left\langle \beta^*, \Lambda_S \left[\left(\overline{\Lambda}_S^* \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho\right) \partial_\sigma \beta\right] \right\rangle \\
&= \left(\Lambda_V^{-1}\right)^\sigma{}_\rho \left\langle \beta^*, \left(\overline{\Lambda}_S^* \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho \Lambda_S\right) \partial_\sigma \beta \right\rangle \\
&= \left(\Lambda_V^{-1}\right)^\sigma{}_\rho \left(\Lambda_V\right)^\rho{}_\tau \left\langle \beta^*, \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\tau \partial_\sigma \beta \right\rangle \\
&= \langle \beta^*, \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho \partial_\rho \beta \rangle \,,
\end{aligned}$$

using Eqs. (3), (9) and (15). ■

4 Gauge transformations

An interesting question is whether the Lorentz invariant quantities $\langle \alpha^*, \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho \partial_\rho \alpha \rangle$ and $\langle \beta^*, \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho \partial_\rho \beta \rangle$, as considered in Proposition 1, possess some local gauge freedom.

Turning attention first to α, consider a gauge transformation of the form $\alpha' = \alpha U^{-1}$, where $U \equiv \exp(u) \in \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{A}$ (note exponentiation of $u \in \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{A}$). This gauge transformation is compatible with group composition (from the left) in the sense that $\alpha'' = \left(\alpha U_1^{-1}\right) U_2^{-1} = \alpha U_3^{-1}$, where $U_3 \equiv U_2 U_1$; and it commutes with the Lorentz transformation $\alpha' = \Lambda_S \alpha$

(different primes not to be confused) due to associativity, $0 = \left[\Lambda_S, \alpha, U^{-1}\right] \equiv (\Lambda_S \alpha) U^{-1} - \Lambda_S \left(\alpha U^{-1}\right)$, thus respecting the Coleman-Mandula theorem [6, Sect. 24.B].

Proposition 2 *The quantity* $\langle \alpha^*, \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho \partial_\rho \alpha \rangle$ *is invariant under the global gauge transformation* $\alpha' = \alpha U^{-1}$, *if and only if* $\overline{U}^* = U^{-1}$.

Proof: Due to associativity, all quantities belonging to $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{A}$, the calculation is straightforward:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha^*, \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho \partial_\rho \alpha \rangle' &= \left\langle \left(\alpha U^{-1}\right)^*, \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho \partial_\rho \left(\alpha U^{-1}\right) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \alpha^* \left(U^*\right)^{-1}, \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho \left(\partial_\rho \alpha\right) U^{-1} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \alpha^*, \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho \left(\partial_\rho \alpha\right) U^{-1} \left(\overline{U}^*\right)^{-1} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \alpha^*, \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho \left(\partial_\rho \alpha\right) \left(\overline{U}^* U\right)^{-1} \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

using Eq. (10). Invariance requires $\overline{U}^* U = 1$. ■

As $U \equiv \exp(u) \in \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{A}$, the condition $\overline{U}^* = U^{-1}$ is equivalent to $\overline{u}^* = -u \Leftrightarrow u \in (\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{A})^-$. As $(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{A})^-$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$, the gauge transformation $\alpha' = \alpha U^{-1} = \alpha \exp(-u)$ therefore corresponds to a *global* $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ gauge symmetry of $\langle \alpha^*, \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho \partial_\rho \alpha \rangle$. In order to lift this global symmetry to a *local* one, introduce an $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ gauge connection $W_\rho \in (\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{A})^-$ transforming standardly as

$$W'_\rho = U W_\rho U^{-1} - \left(\partial_\rho U\right) U^{-1} \tag{6}$$

under local $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ gauge transformations.

Proposition 3 *The* $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ *covariant derivative of* α *is given by*

$$D_\rho \alpha \equiv \partial_\rho \alpha - \alpha W_\rho. \tag{7}$$

Proof: Due to associativity, all quantities belonging to $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{A}$, the calculation is straightforward:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(D_\rho \alpha\right)' &= \partial_\rho \left(\alpha U^{-1}\right) - \left(\alpha U^{-1}\right) \left[U W_\rho U^{-1} - \left(\partial_\rho U\right) U^{-1}\right] \\ &= \left(\partial_\rho \alpha\right) U^{-1} + \alpha \left(\partial_\rho U^{-1}\right) - \alpha \left(W_\rho U^{-1} + \partial_\rho U^{-1}\right) \\ &= \left(\partial_\rho \alpha\right) U^{-1} - \alpha W_\rho U^{-1} \\ &= \left(D_\rho \alpha\right) U^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

using $\partial_\rho U^{-1} = -U^{-1} \left(\partial_\rho U\right) U^{-1}$. ■

Due to this Proposition, the quantity $\langle \alpha^*, \overline{\mathrm{e}}^{\rho} D_\rho \alpha \rangle$ is *locally* $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ invariant for the very same reason that $\langle \alpha^*, \overline{\mathrm{e}}^{\rho} \partial_\rho \alpha \rangle$ is *globally* $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ invariant, as previously proved.

Turning attention next to β, an interesting question is how it can be coupled to the gauge field W_ρ just introduced in connection with α.

Proposition 4 *The most general* $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ *covariant derivative of* β *is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} D_\rho \beta &= \partial_\rho \beta + \frac{r}{2} \left(\beta W_\rho + W_\rho \beta \right) \\ &\equiv \partial_\rho \beta + \frac{r}{2} \left(\beta W_\rho + \beta \overline{W}_\rho \right) \\ &\equiv \partial_\rho \beta + r \beta \mathrm{Scal}\left(W_\rho \right), \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $r \in \mathbb{R}$. *All three expressions are equivalent due to Eq. (14) and the identity* $2\mathrm{Scal}(x) \equiv x + \overline{x}$.

Proof: Let $D_\rho \equiv \partial_\rho \beta + V_\rho(\beta)$. The most general $V_\rho(\beta)$ is given by a linear combination over $\mathbb{R}$ of the eight possible terms

$$\begin{gathered} \beta W_\rho, \beta W_\rho^*, \beta \overline{W}_\rho, \beta \overline{W}_\rho^*, \\ W_\rho \beta, W_\rho^* \beta, \overline{W}_\rho \beta, \overline{W}_\rho^* \beta. \end{gathered}$$

Due to the identity $ab \equiv b\overline{a}$, Eq. (14), and the property $\overline{W}_\rho^* = -W_\rho$, following from $W_\rho \in (\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{A})^-$, such a linear combination can be rewritten as $V_\rho(\beta) = r_1 W_\rho \beta + r_2 \beta W_\rho$, where $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Under (global) Lorentz transformations, this expression transforms as

$$\begin{aligned} \left[V_\rho(\beta) \right]' &= \left(\Lambda_V^{-1} \right)^\sigma{}_\rho \left[r_1 W_\sigma \left(\overline{\Lambda}_S^* \beta \right) + r_2 \left(\overline{\Lambda}_S^* \beta \right) W_\sigma \right] \\ &= \left(\Lambda_V^{-1} \right)^\sigma{}_\rho \left[r_1 W_\sigma \left(\overline{\Lambda}_S^* \beta \right) + r_2 \left(\beta \Lambda_S^* \right) W_\sigma \right] \\ &= \left(\Lambda_V^{-1} \right)^\sigma{}_\rho \left[r_1 \left(\overline{\Lambda}_S^* W_\sigma \right) \beta + r_2 \beta \left(W_\sigma \Lambda_S^* \right) \right] \\ &= \left(\Lambda_V^{-1} \right)^\sigma{}_\rho \left[r_1 \left(\overline{\Lambda}_S^* W_\sigma \right) \beta + r_2 \left(\overline{\Lambda}_S^* \overline{W}_\sigma \right) \beta \right] \\ &= \left(\Lambda_V^{-1} \right)^\sigma{}_\rho \left[\overline{\Lambda}_S^* \left(r_1 W_\sigma + r_2 \overline{W}_\sigma \right) \right] \beta, \end{aligned}$$

using Eqs. (14) and (15)-(16). For $D_\rho \beta$ to transform under global Lorentz transformations as $(D_\rho \beta)' = \left(\Lambda_V^{-1} \right)^\sigma{}_\rho \overline{\Lambda}_S^* (D_\sigma \beta)$, as it should, the following condition must thus hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[V_\rho(\beta) \right]' &= \left(\Lambda_V^{-1} \right)^\sigma{}_\rho \overline{\Lambda}_S^* V_\sigma(\beta) \\ &= \left(\Lambda_V^{-1} \right)^\sigma{}_\rho \overline{\Lambda}_S^* \left[r_1 W_\sigma \beta + r_2 \beta W_\sigma \right] \\ &= \left(\Lambda_V^{-1} \right)^\sigma{}_\rho \overline{\Lambda}_S^* \left[\left(r_1 W_\sigma + r_2 \overline{W}_\sigma \right) \beta \right], \end{aligned}$$

using Eq. (14). The two expressions for $[V_\rho(\beta)]'$ can only agree if

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left[\overline{\Lambda}_S^* \left(r_1 W_\sigma + r_2 \overline{W}_\sigma\right)\right]\beta - \overline{\Lambda}_S^* \left[\left(r_1 W_\sigma + r_2 \overline{W}_\sigma\right)\beta\right] \\ &\equiv \left[\overline{\Lambda}_S^*, r_1 W_\sigma + r_2 \overline{W}_\sigma, \beta\right], \end{aligned}$$

i.e., if the three quantities in question associate. For general β and Λ_S, this is only possible if

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C} &\ni r_1 W_\sigma + r_2 \overline{W}_\sigma \\ &= r_1 \left[\mathrm{Scal}\left(W_\rho\right) + \mathrm{Vec}\left(W_\rho\right)\right] + r_2 \left[\mathrm{Scal}\left(W_\rho\right) - \mathrm{Vec}\left(W_\rho\right)\right] \\ &= \left(r_1 + r_2\right)\mathrm{Scal}\left(W_\rho\right) + \left(r_1 - r_2\right)\mathrm{Vec}\left(W_\rho\right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies $r_1 = r_2 \equiv r/2$, thus concluding the proof. ■

To wrap up matters nicely, the transformation of β under local $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ gauge transformations, or, effectively, local $\mathrm{U}(1)$ gauge transformations, is now given.

Proposition 5 *The* $\mathrm{U}(1)$ *local gauge transformation of* β *corresponding to Eq. (8) is given by*

$$\beta' = \beta \exp\left(r\mathrm{Scal}\left(u\right)\right),$$

where u*, of course, is the parameter entering into the definition* $U \equiv \exp(u)$*.*

Proof: Due to associativity, all quantities belonging to $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{A}$, the calculation is straightforward:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(D_\rho \beta\right)' &= \partial_\rho \left[\beta \exp\left(r\mathrm{Scal}\left(u\right)\right)\right] + r\left[\beta \exp\left(r\mathrm{Scal}\left(u\right)\right)\right]\mathrm{Scal}\left(W_\rho'\right) \\ &= \left[\partial_\rho \beta + \beta r \mathrm{Scal}\left(\partial_\rho u\right) + r\beta \mathrm{Scal}\left(W_\rho'\right)\right]\exp\left(r\mathrm{Scal}\left(u\right)\right) \\ &= \left[\partial_\rho \beta + r\beta \mathrm{Scal}\left(W_\rho' + \partial_\rho u\right)\right]\exp\left(r\mathrm{Scal}\left(u\right)\right) \\ &= \left[\partial_\rho \beta + r\beta \mathrm{Scal}\left(W_\rho\right)\right]\exp\left(r\mathrm{Scal}\left(u\right)\right) \\ &= \left(D_\rho \beta\right)\exp\left(r\mathrm{Scal}\left(u\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 4, and the fact that $\exp\left(r\mathrm{Scal}\left(u\right)\right) \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathrm{Scal}\left(W_\rho'\right) \in \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}$ commute and associate with anything. ■

Note that $\exp\left(r\mathrm{Scal}\left(u\right)\right) \in \mathbb{C}$, as introduced in this Proposition, is indeed a $\mathrm{U}(1)$ phase, as the following calculation shows:

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left(r\mathrm{Scal}\left(u\right)\right)^* &= \exp\left(r\mathrm{Scal}\left(u^*\right)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(r\mathrm{Scal}\left(-\overline{u}\right)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(r\mathrm{Scal}\left(-u\right)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-r\mathrm{Scal}\left(u\right)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(r\mathrm{Scal}\left(u\right)\right)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

using $\overline{u}^* = -u$. Thus the quantity $\langle \beta^*, \overline{\mathrm{e}}^\rho D_\rho \beta \rangle$ is *locally* $\mathrm{U}(1)$ invariant.

5 Conclusion

As $\mathrm{Scal}(W_\rho) \in \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}$, because $W_\rho \in (\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{A})^- \equiv \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R} \cup \mathrm{Vec}(\mathbb{A})$, Propositions 4 and 5 say that β, unlike α, can couple *only* to the $\mathrm{U}(1)$ part of the $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ gauge connection W_ρ.

It is therefore tempting to assign to α left-handed $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ *doublets*, and to β right-handed $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ *singlets*. For β, though, this is not quite satisfactory as β possesses four (complex) degrees of freedom (as does α), whereas an $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ singlet possesses only two (complex) degrees of freedom: it seems that some truncation of the degrees of freedom of β is required; note, however, that this truncation can*not* possibly be performed by some projection operator, as in the present formalism the analogue of $\gamma_5 \equiv -\mathrm{i}\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$ in the Dirac formalism seems trivial due to the relation $-\mathrm{i}\mathrm{e}^0\overline{\mathrm{e}}^1\mathrm{e}^2\overline{\mathrm{e}}^3 = 1$.

Thus, the formalism here presented, crucially depending on nonassociativity, as previously noted in Remark 2, seems capable of describing the coupling of spinors to the electroweak force with*out* projection operators $\frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \gamma_5)$.

6 Auxiliary material, I: Lorentz transformations

Lemma 1 *The quantities $S_{\mu\nu}$, Eq. (1), obey the Lorentz algebra,*

$$-\mathrm{i}\,[S_{\mu\nu}, S_{\rho\sigma}] = \eta_{\mu\rho}S_{\nu\sigma} - \eta_{\mu\sigma}S_{\nu\rho} - \eta_{\nu\rho}S_{\mu\sigma} + \eta_{\nu\sigma}S_{\mu\rho}.$$

Proof: By a calculation completely analogous to the proof that $-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{4}\left[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu\right]$ in the standard Dirac formalism obey the Lorentz algebra, using here $\mathrm{e}_\mu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\nu + \mathrm{e}_\nu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\mu = \overline{\mathrm{e}}_\mu\mathrm{e}_\nu + \overline{\mathrm{e}}_\nu\mathrm{e}_\mu = 2\eta_{\mu\nu}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}_\mu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\nu\mathrm{e}_\rho\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\sigma &= \mathrm{e}_\mu\left(2\eta_{\nu\rho} - \overline{\mathrm{e}}_\rho\mathrm{e}_\nu\right)\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\sigma \\
&= 2\eta_{\nu\rho}\mathrm{e}_\mu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\sigma - \mathrm{e}_\mu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\rho\left(2\eta_{\nu\sigma} - \mathrm{e}_\sigma\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\nu\right) \\
&= 2\eta_{\nu\rho}\mathrm{e}_\mu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\sigma - 2\eta_{\nu\sigma}\mathrm{e}_\mu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\rho + \left(2\eta_{\mu\rho} - \mathrm{e}_\rho\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\mu\right)\mathrm{e}_\sigma\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\nu \\
&= 2\eta_{\nu\rho}\mathrm{e}_\mu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\sigma - 2\eta_{\nu\sigma}\mathrm{e}_\mu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\rho + 2\eta_{\mu\rho}\mathrm{e}_\sigma\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\nu - \mathrm{e}_\rho\left(2\eta_{\mu\sigma} - \overline{\mathrm{e}}_\sigma\mathrm{e}_\mu\right)\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\nu \Rightarrow \\
[\mathrm{e}_\mu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\nu, \mathrm{e}_\rho\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\sigma] &= 2\eta_{\nu\rho}\mathrm{e}_\mu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\sigma - 2\eta_{\nu\sigma}\mathrm{e}_\mu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\rho + 2\eta_{\mu\rho}\mathrm{e}_\sigma\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\nu - 2\eta_{\mu\sigma}\mathrm{e}_\rho\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\nu,
\end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
-16\,[S_{\mu\nu}, S_{\rho\sigma}] &= [\mathrm{e}_\mu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\nu, \mathrm{e}_\rho\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\sigma] - [\mathrm{e}_\nu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\mu, \mathrm{e}_\rho\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\sigma] - [\mathrm{e}_\mu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\nu, \mathrm{e}_\sigma\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\rho] + [\mathrm{e}_\nu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\mu, \mathrm{e}_\sigma\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\rho] \\
&= \left(2\eta_{\nu\rho}\mathrm{e}_\mu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\sigma - 2\eta_{\nu\sigma}\mathrm{e}_\mu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\rho + 2\eta_{\mu\rho}\mathrm{e}_\sigma\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\nu - 2\eta_{\mu\sigma}\mathrm{e}_\rho\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\nu\right) \\
&\quad - \left(2\eta_{\mu\rho}\mathrm{e}_\nu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\sigma - 2\eta_{\mu\sigma}\mathrm{e}_\nu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\rho + 2\eta_{\nu\rho}\mathrm{e}_\sigma\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\mu - 2\eta_{\nu\sigma}\mathrm{e}_\rho\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\mu\right) \\
&\quad - \left(2\eta_{\nu\sigma}\mathrm{e}_\mu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\rho - 2\eta_{\nu\rho}\mathrm{e}_\mu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\sigma + 2\eta_{\mu\sigma}\mathrm{e}_\rho\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\nu - 2\eta_{\mu\rho}\mathrm{e}_\sigma\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\nu\right) \\
&\quad + \left(2\eta_{\mu\sigma}\mathrm{e}_\nu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\rho - 2\eta_{\mu\rho}\mathrm{e}_\nu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\sigma + 2\eta_{\nu\sigma}\mathrm{e}_\rho\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\mu - 2\eta_{\nu\rho}\mathrm{e}_\sigma\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\mu\right) \\
&= 4\eta_{\nu\rho}\left(\mathrm{e}_\mu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\sigma - \mathrm{e}_\sigma\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\mu\right) - 4\eta_{\nu\sigma}\left(\mathrm{e}_\mu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\rho - \mathrm{e}_\rho\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\mu\right) \\
&\quad + 4\eta_{\mu\rho}\left(\mathrm{e}_\sigma\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\nu - \mathrm{e}_\nu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\sigma\right) - 4\eta_{\mu\sigma}\left(\mathrm{e}_\rho\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\nu - \mathrm{e}_\nu\overline{\mathrm{e}}_\rho\right),
\end{aligned}$$

from which the result readily follows. ■

Lemma 2 *The following identity holds:*

$$S^{*}_{\mu\nu}\overline{\mathrm{e}}^{\rho}+\overline{\mathrm{e}}^{\rho}S_{\mu\nu}=\left(V_{\mu\nu}\right)^{\rho}{}_{\sigma}\overline{\mathrm{e}}^{\sigma}.$$

Proof: By direct calculation, using $\mathrm{e}_{\mu}\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\nu}+\mathrm{e}_{\nu}\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\mu}=\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\mu}\mathrm{e}_{\nu}+\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\nu}\mathrm{e}_{\mu}=2\eta_{\mu\nu}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\mu}\mathrm{e}_{\nu}\overline{\mathrm{e}}^{\rho} &= \overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\mu}\left(2\delta^{\rho}_{\nu}-\mathrm{e}^{\rho}\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\nu}\right)\\
&= 2\delta^{\rho}_{\nu}\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\mu}-\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\mu}\mathrm{e}^{\rho}\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\nu}\\
&= 2\delta^{\rho}_{\nu}\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\mu}-\left(2\delta^{\rho}_{\mu}-\overline{\mathrm{e}}^{\rho}\mathrm{e}_{\mu}\right)\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\nu}\\
&= 2\delta^{\rho}_{\nu}\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\mu}-2\delta^{\rho}_{\mu}\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\nu}+\overline{\mathrm{e}}^{\rho}\mathrm{e}_{\mu}\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\nu},
\end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
-4\mathrm{i}S^{*}_{\mu\nu}\overline{\mathrm{e}}^{\rho} &= \left(2\delta^{\rho}_{\nu}\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\mu}-2\delta^{\rho}_{\mu}\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\nu}+\overline{\mathrm{e}}^{\rho}\mathrm{e}_{\mu}\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\nu}\right)-\left(2\delta^{\rho}_{\mu}\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\nu}-2\delta^{\rho}_{\nu}\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\mu}+\overline{\mathrm{e}}^{\rho}\mathrm{e}_{\nu}\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\mu}\right)\\
&= 4\delta^{\rho}_{\nu}\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\mu}-4\delta^{\rho}_{\mu}\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\nu}+\overline{\mathrm{e}}^{\rho}\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mu}\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\nu}-\mathrm{e}_{\nu}\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\mu}\right)\\
&= 4\delta^{\rho}_{\nu}\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\mu}-4\delta^{\rho}_{\mu}\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\nu}+4\mathrm{i}\overline{\mathrm{e}}^{\rho}S_{\mu\nu},
\end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
S^{*}_{\mu\nu}\overline{\mathrm{e}}^{\rho}+\overline{\mathrm{e}}^{\rho}S_{\mu\nu} &= -\mathrm{i}\left(\delta^{\rho}_{\mu}\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\nu}-\delta^{\rho}_{\nu}\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\mu}\right)\\
&= -\mathrm{i}\left(\delta^{\rho}_{\mu}\eta_{\nu\sigma}-\delta^{\rho}_{\nu}\eta_{\mu\sigma}\right)\overline{\mathrm{e}}^{\sigma}\\
&= \left(V_{\mu\nu}\right)^{\rho}{}_{\sigma}\overline{\mathrm{e}}^{\sigma}.
\end{aligned}$$

■

7 Auxiliary material, II: Gauge transformations

Lemma 3 *Let* $U\equiv\exp\left(u\right)$, *where* $u\in\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{A}$. *Then,*

$$\left\langle 1,\left(\partial_{\rho}U\right)U^{-1}\right\rangle=\left\langle 1,\partial_{\rho}u\right\rangle.$$

Proof: By direct calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle 1, \left(\partial_\rho U\right) U^{-1} \right\rangle &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!}\frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left\langle 1, \left(\partial_\rho u^m\right) u^n \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{m!}\frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left\langle 1, \left[u^l \left(\partial_\rho u\right) u^{m-l-1}\right] u^n \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{m!}\frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left\langle 1, u^l \left(\partial_\rho u\right) u^{m-l-1+n} \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{m!}\frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left\langle \overline{u}^l \overline{u}^{m-l-1+n}, \partial_\rho u \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m}{m!}\frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left\langle \overline{u}^{m-1} \overline{u}^{n}, \partial_\rho u \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!}\frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left\langle \overline{u}^{m} \overline{u}^{n}, \partial_\rho u \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \overline{U} \left(\overline{U}\right)^{-1}, \partial_\rho u \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle 1, \partial_\rho u \right\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

using Eqs. (11) and (12), and associativity (all quantities belonging to $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{A}$). ■

Lemma 4 *Let W'_ρ be given by Eq. (6). Then,*

$$\mathrm{Scal}\left(W'_\rho - W_\rho\right) = -\mathrm{Scal}\left(\partial_\rho u\right).$$

Proof: By direct calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Scal}\left(W'_\rho\right) &= \left\langle 1, U W_\rho U^{-1} - \left(\partial_\rho U\right) U^{-1} \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle 1, U W_\rho U^{-1} \right\rangle - \left\langle 1, \left(\partial_\rho U\right) U^{-1} \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \overline{U} \left(\overline{U}\right)^{-1}, W_\rho \right\rangle - \left\langle 1, \left(\partial_\rho U\right) U^{-1} \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle 1, W_\rho \right\rangle - \left\langle 1, \partial_\rho u \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle 1, W_\rho - \partial_\rho u \right\rangle \\
&= \mathrm{Scal}\left(W_\rho - \partial_\rho u\right),
\end{aligned}$$

using Eqs. (11) and (12), and Lemma 3. ■

8 Auxiliary material, III: Octonionic identities

The complexified octonions belong to a class of algebras called composition algebras.

8.1 General identities

Lemma 5 (Ref. [2]) *The following identities hold for any composition algebra:*

$$\begin{aligned}\langle xy, z\rangle &= \langle y, \overline{x}z\rangle\,, &(9)\\ \langle xy, z\rangle &= \langle x, z\overline{y}\rangle\,, &(10)\\ \langle z, xy\rangle &= \langle \overline{x}z, y\rangle\,, &(11)\\ \langle z, xy\rangle &= \langle z\overline{y}, x\rangle\,. &(12)\end{aligned}$$

Even though these four expressions are pairwise related by way of the identity $\langle x, y\rangle \equiv \langle y, x\rangle$, they have all been listed for presentational clarity.

Lemma 6 ([7, Lemma 1.2]) *For any $x, y, z \in \mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{O}$, the following identity holds:*

$$x\left(\overline{y}z\right) + y\left(\overline{x}z\right) = 2\left\langle x, y\right\rangle z. \tag{13}$$

8.2 $A - B$ identities

The Cayley-Dickson construction [4, Sec. 2.2], makes it clear that $\mathbb{O}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading over $\mathbb{H}$ (just as $\mathbb{H}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading over $\mathbb{C}$ which, in turn, is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading over $\mathbb{R}$).

Lemma 7 (The $A - B$ Lemma) *Let $\mathbb{A}\cong\mathbb{H}$ and $\mathbb{B}\equiv\mathbb{O}\setminus\mathbb{A}$ in conjunction be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading of $\mathbb{O}$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{A}\cdot\mathbb{A} &= \mathbb{A},\\ \mathbb{A}\cdot\mathbb{B} &= \mathbb{B},\\ \mathbb{B}\cdot\mathbb{A} &= \mathbb{B},\\ \mathbb{B}\cdot\mathbb{B} &= \mathbb{A},\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{X}\cdot\mathbb{Y}\equiv\{xy\,|x\in\mathbb{X}, y\in\mathbb{Y}\}$. By complexification, the very same holds true, of course, for $\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{A}\cong\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{H}$ and $\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{B}\equiv(\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{O})\setminus(\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{A})$.

Lemma 8 *For any $a\in\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{A}$ and any $b\in\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{B}$, the following identity holds:*

$$ab = b\overline{a}. \tag{14}$$

Proof: Follows immediately from $0 = 2\left\langle a, b\right\rangle = a\overline{b} + b\overline{a} = -ab + b\overline{a}$. ■

Lemma 9 *For any $a, a'\in\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{A}$ and any $b\in\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{B}$, the following identities hold (note the reversal of the order of a and a'):*

$$\begin{aligned}\left(aa'\right)b &= a'\left(ab\right), &(15)\\ b\left(a'a\right) &= \left(ba\right)a'. &(16)\end{aligned}$$

Proof: The two identities are related by octonionic conjugation and subsequent renaming $\left(\overline{a},\overline{a}',\overline{b}\right) \to (a,a',b)$; therefore only the first one will be proved. By taking $(x,y,z) = (a',b,\overline{a})$ in Eq. (13), and using $\overline{b} = -b$ and Eq. (14), it follows that

$$0 = 2\left\langle a',b\right\rangle \overline{a} = a'\left(\overline{b}\overline{a}\right) + b\left(\overline{a}'\overline{a}\right) = -a'\left(ab\right) + \left(aa'\right)b.$$

■

Lemma 10 *For any $a \in \mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{A}$ and any $b,b' \in \mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{B}$, the following identities hold (note the non-reversal of the order of b and b'):*

$$\left(bb'\right)a = \left(ab\right)b', \tag{17}$$

$$a\left(b'b\right) = b'\left(ba\right). \tag{18}$$

Proof: The two identities are related by octonionic conjugation and subsequent renaming $\left(\overline{a},\overline{b},\overline{b}'\right) \to (a,b,b')$; therefore only the first one will be proved. By taking $(x,y,z) = (a,b,b')$ in Eq. (13), and using $\overline{b} = -b$ and Eq. (14), it follows that

$$0 = 2\left\langle a,b\right\rangle b' = a\left(\overline{b}b'\right) + b\left(\overline{a}b'\right) = -a\left(bb'\right) + b\left(b'a\right).$$

■

Lemma 11 *For any $a,a' \in \mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{A}$ and any $b,b' \in \mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{B}$, the following identity holds (note the reversal of the order of a and a'):*

$$\left(ab\right)\left(b'a'\right) = a'\left(bb'\right)a. \tag{19}$$

Proof: By direct calculation using Eqs. (17)-(18):

$$\begin{aligned}\left(ab\right)\left(b'a'\right) &= \left[b\left(b'a'\right)\right]a\\ &= \left[a'\left(bb'\right)\right]a\\ &= a'\left(bb'\right)a,\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality holds because $bb' \in \mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{A}$, due to Lemma 7, so that the relevant quantities associate, $[a,a',bb'] = 0$. ■

References

[1] Okubo, S., *Introduction to Octonion and Other Non-Associative Algebras in Physics*, Montroll Memorial Lecture Series in Mathematical Physics, 2 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).

[2] Springer, T. A. and Veldkamp, F. D., *Octonions, Jordan Algebras and Exceptional Groups* (Springer, Berlin, 2000).

[3] Dündarer, A. R. and Gürsey, F., Octonionic representations of SO (8) and its subgroups and cosets, J. Math. Phys. **32**(5), 1176 (1991).

[4] Baez, J. C., The Octonions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **39**(2), 145 (2002).

[5] Peskin, M. E. and Schroeder, D. V., *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory* (Westview Press, 1995).

[6] Weinberg, S., *The Quantum Theory of Fields*, Vol. 1-3 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002).

[7] Zvengrowski, P., A 3-Fold Vector Product in R^8, Comment. Math. Helv. **40**, 149 (1965/66).